

ENTERED

February 08, 2021

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISIONOLGA BLAKLEY, M.D., P.A., ET
AL.,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

NORMAND F. PIZZA, ET AL.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:20-CV-02962

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION**

On January 7, 2021, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) (Dkt. 10) was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Andrew M. Edison under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Dkt. 33. On January 12, 2021, Judge Edison filed a Memorandum and Recommendation (Dkt. 37) recommending that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) (Dkt. 10) be **GRANTED**.


Plaintiff Olga P. Blakley filed two objections—one on January 26, 2021, and the other on February 1, 2021. *See* Dkts. 42, 43. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge’s] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection [has been] made.” After conducting this de novo review, the Court may “accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” *Id.*; *see also* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3).

The Court has carefully considered both objections; the Memorandum and Recommendation; the pleadings; and the record. The Court **ACCEPTS** Judge Edison's Memorandum and Recommendation and **ADOPTS** it as the opinion of the Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that:

- (1) Judge Edison's Memorandum and Recommendation (Dkt. 37) is **APPROVED AND ADOPTED** in its entirety as the holding of the Court; and
- (2) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) (Dkt. 10) is **GRANTED**.

A final judgment will be separately entered dismissing this case. It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED and ENTERED this 8th day of February 2021.



GEORGE C. HANKS, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE